Appendix 2 a

Published texts: Analyses of kind of inscribed attitude, and distribution according to field

Key

App: Appreciation (:react = reaction; :val = valuation; :comp = composition)

Aff: Affect (:hap = happiness; :sec = security; :des = desire)

Aff: Affect (:hap = happiness; :sec = security; :des = veracity: :prop = property | property |

Jud: Judgement (:ten = tenacity; :cap = capacity; :ver = veracity; :prop = propriety)

	P	Text
 the apparently simple question only concerned this narrow age band The problem with thesestudies the best British attempt to unravel results were not distorted showed certain other refinements 	a definite answer	Research (FR) oriented evaluation
App: comp - App: comp - App: val - App: val + App: comp - App: comp + App: comp +	App: comp +	Kind of Attitude
 or worse students obtain better results. children fare better those are more successful those do better than the superiority of veterans students fare better or worse than seems to be a positive predictor of success students do better in and worse in students fare worse students obtained better degrees students obtained better degrees students obtained better degrees students obtained better than 	students do better	Domain (FD) oriented evaluation
App: val + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	App: val +	Kind of Attitude

280 Appendix 2a

and develop	Students learn and develop		
Peer reviews provide opportunities	• Peer rev		
Peer review provides the best means	• Peer re		
writers clarify their thoughts	• writer		
and relevance of their comments, a	and		
judge the usefulness	• judge		
put across messages clearly	• put a		
students read carefully	• stude		
It is not clear to me	• It is n		
What is more direct and relevant	What		
Peer review is a useful technique	 Peer 		
for a pseudo - reader	• for a		
lonely exercise	• lone		
writing as an artificial ,	• writin		
it is read by an authentic audience	• it is r		
and meaningful	• and r		
Writing becomes more purposeful	• Writin		
reverses such a traditional approach	• reve		
In the traditional classroom	• In th		
the benefits of peer review in L2 writing	• the		
The usefulness as a technique	• The		
promote the development of autonomy	• pro		
studentsimprove the writing.	• stud		
		Attitude	
Domain (FD) oriented evaluation	Doma	Kind of	Research (FR) oriented evaluation
	1		

281 Appendix 2a

						cont'd	P2
	 students develop greater 	 make writing a more positiveactivity 	· Peer reviews can boost confidence	• they may gain more confidence	• their peers' difficulties in writing,	• students can gain a better	 Students become more autonomous
9	elon great	a more p	can boos	າ more cc	ifficulties	gain a be	ecome m
	er indep	ositive∷	t confide	nfidence	in writing	tter unde	ore autor
	independence	activity	ince,		ĝ	understanding	nomous
	Jud: cap +	App: val	Jud: cap +	Jud: cap +	App: react	App: val -	Jud: cap +
	+	+	+	+	ict -	+	+

 for the best this is an effective strategy this is primarily a testing device some complicated planning and extreme care the problem can be alleviated assigning carefully controlled compositions lave been sceptical how much good it does fix it up as best he can. his chief concern is lack of faith in conventional techniques. language becomes so varied and complex
Domain (FD) oriented evaluation

2	!
a conscientious student giving very explicit directions a very mechanical one The ideal is between these two. this istime consuming conventional correction is antithetical to the teaching style best suited to ESL which stresses communication a very oneway and inflexible form a solution to these problems Students did improve is antithetical to the teaching style best suited to ESL which stresses communication a very oneway and inflexible form a solution to these problems Students did improve measuring improvement. do not claim formal support The following advantages The basic advantage is that the nature of problems to be solved the nature of individual weaknesses more simply, that errors are necessary	
App: val - App: comp - App: comp - App: val - App: val - App: val - App: val - App: comp - App: val -	-

Text	Research (FR) oriented evaluation	Kind of	Domain (FD) oriented evaluation	Kind of
P4	the time constraints	App: comp -	Thenegative effects	App: val +
	• a thorough introduction is not possible	App: comp -	of traditional feedback	App: val -
	 to focus on certain key features 	App: val +	 The benefits of using peer groups 	App: val +
			 peer relationships are the key 	App: val +
			 feedback has been a useful alternative 	App: val +
			 the reasons for the increased interest 	App: react +
			 a cheap means of delivering education 	App: val -
			 an era of tight public-spending 	App: val –
			 teachers recognised the value . 	App: val +
			• the positive effects	App: val +
			 peer response is effective 	App: val +
			 subjects improved 	App: val +
			approach isnothing new	App: val -
			 a key feature of process writing 	App: val +
			 the benefits of approach 	App: val +
			 described as "an innovation" 	App: val +
			 Approaches have been popular 	App: react +
			 isconsidered an innovation 	App: val +
			 constraints of the context 	App: comp –
			 it is essential to change attitudes 	App: val +
			 towards an innovation 	App: val +
			• one of the main	App: val +
			• problems	App: val –
			 the pressure of trying 	Aff: sec -
			 trying to innovate 	App: val +
			 highly constrainedsituations 	App: comp -

Appendix 2a

284

									COLLEGE	Opt-id	P4
• following the emphasis plants: • writing has a central role	• thorough training • training is desirable	Another difficulty is	another constraint	• the possible problem	• teachers had a positive	and efficient way to proceed	a more effective	• the earlier the better .	• an innovation –	• that for an innovation to be considered	• although useful ,
placed by App: val + role to play App: val +	App: comp + Aff: des +	App: val –	App: comp -	App: val -	ive experience App: val +		App: val +	App: val +	App: val +	considered App: val +	App: val +